REPORT OF EXAMINATION | 2019M-90

Town of Alexandria

Charges Redwood Water and Sewer District

DECEMBER 2019



OFFICE OF THE NEW YORK STATE COMPTROLLER
Thomas P. DiNapoli, State Comptroller

Report Highlights		:	
Redwood Water and Sewer District Charges	:		
How Should Officials Ensure Water and Sewer Charges	Are	•	
The Board Needs To Review and Amend the Water Ordinance	nance.	:	4.
The Board Needs To Improve Controls To Ensure Water Users Are Accurately Billed	Users	•	
The Board Needs To Review and Amend the Sewer Ordinance	inance	•	_
The Board Needs To Improve Controls To Ensure Sewer Users Are Accurately Billed	Users		
Water Meter Readings Were Not Always Reliable			
What Do We Recommend?	•	•	<u></u>
Appendix A – Response From Town Officials	•		<u></u> -
Appendix B – Audit Methodology and Standards	•	•	
Appendix C – Resources and Services	 		<u> </u>

ROPE LINE OF THE PROPERTY OF T

Town of Alexandria

Audit Objective

Determine whether the Town billed charges in the Redwood Water and Sewer Districts in accordance with Town ordinances.

Key Findings

Town officials did not bill water and sewer charges in accordance with Town ordinances. We found:

- The water ordinance did not provide a clear, consistent and equitable basis for billing property owners. In addition, certain charges stipulated in the water and sewer ordinances did not conform to applicable State law.
- We reviewed water and sewer billings totaling \$82,649 for 70 property owners and identified about \$10,339 in charges that were inconsistent with the ordinances.
- Water meter readings were not always reliable during our audit period, but officials have taken measures to obtain more reliable readings.

Key Recommendations

- Update the ordinances to clearly define and specify all charges and ensure they conform to applicable State law.
- Ensure procedures are in place to verify residents are properly billed in accordance with the ordinances.
- Ensure meter readings are reliable

Town officials agreed with our recommendations and indicated they planned to initiate corrective action.

Background

The Town is located in Jefferson County. The Town is governed by an elected Town Board (Board) composed of four members and a Town Supervisor (Supervisor). The Board is responsible for the general oversight of Town operations.

The Town Clerk (Clerk) is responsible for billing and recording water and sewer user charges. The water and sewer operators manage overall operations for these districts.

		JUNCT		and a w	4.32.74	تنسنت
	Sewer Customers	Water Customers	2018 Redwood Sewer Appropriations	2018 Redwood Water Appropriations	−υ	Quick Facts
1	9	<u> </u>	고모	70 9	0	
: 1	3	8	모조	₩ ∞	Population	1175
	4		்க	ேற		Ω.
	റ	റ	🖭 👸	ଅଟେ	"#	
:	3 gr 3	5	중 구	# ₽	I 5"	THE STREET
-	<u> </u>		E € €	± 5	. ⊅ : 1	Ω)
ं	Ö	_ 2	이 있	_ o 2 ·		n
	9 🖥	- - 3	# &	# K	30.54	77
5	_ 0	*¥:	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	바로왕(최	***
٠.	- 73	. Ø	″ K″		14,000	
	- N	3401767	5	*	116.56	
ď		F. 11.34	Ø	₽ 2		
		3250	340 3 40			
٠.	200	1000	100		1 - Balletin	
÷					1 40 67	
1	الوائلة والمتواكي	하는 사람이	produkt.	and the	1.5746.39	
1		"樊"。李小	14-70-0	L49 5 - 618		
ġ.	3-2-3	200				•
1	41 10.05		100		12000	
Α,	100	4,004,000	69	69	112:50	
è.		\$5.500 B				
š	1286. N	120 J.W.	13	8	1 &	
े	N4.		\$127,933	\$198,561	4,061	
	220	279	ದ	S 65	წ	
3	(C)	(0)	(3)		ا مسر	
្យ	teri geriadi edi.			IQ25 설명 구당	北部 森樹	

Audit Period

January 1, 2017 - October 31, 2018

Redwood Water and Sewer District Charges

Clerk maintains individual customer accounts in a computerized water and sewer within the districts. billing program and generates and sends out quarterly billings to property owners within the boundaries of the water district, is composed of 250 properties. The (properties) and the Redwood Sewer District (sewer district), which is located The Redwood Water District (water district) is composed of 389 parcels

operator obtains water meter readings necessary to calculate bills for water use. with the Town's other four water districts and one other sewer district. The water The water and sewer operators manage overall operations in these districts along The Town purchases its water supply from the Village of Alexandria Bay (Village)

Accurately Billed? How Should Officials Ensure Water and Sewer Charges Are

district is generally limited to raising funds by the methods authorized in these to applicable requirements in New York State Town Law (Town Law) and New property owners are billed in accordance with their ordinances and other board adopt policies and procedures for billing water and sewer charges to ensure that A board should clearly define all water and sewer charges in its ordinances1 and York State General Municipal Law (GML) because a town special improvement resolutions. In addition, the board should ensure that all ordinances conform fully

changes to the billings. This information should be periodically compared to the an apartment is added or the board agrees to change a property classification in and sewer billings. As properties change (e.g., a vacant property is developed, apartment, government), improvements and other factors used to determine water property such as the property classification (e.g., residential, commercial, completeness of billings. billing records before bills are sent to customers to determine the accuracy and response to a grievance), these records should be updated and used to support The board should also ensure that accurate information is maintained on each

should approve the adjustments before they are made. readings or billed amounts, the board or other authorized supervisory personnel addressed. If adjustments are needed to estimate water use or change water (from metered use) to the prior period to identify abnormalities that should be example, the town should periodically compare each user's water consumption have controls in place help ensure the accuracy of the recorded water use. For In addition, when water billings are based on metered water use, it is important to

laws, ordinances or resolution, depending on the applicable statute. 1 While the Town established its charge pursuant to ordinances, charges may also be established by loca

Refer to New York State Town Law (Town Law), Article 12 and New York State General Municipal Law (GML)

The Board Needs To Review and Amend the Water Ordinance

within the district: Based on the ordinance, the Town bills on the following three types of charges property owners within the water district to cover the costs of district operations. The Board adopted a water ordinance that defines how the Town bills users and

- An equivalent dwelling unit (EDU), which is a uniform rate charged based on the type of parcel
- A water use charge based on metered water consumption.
- A benefit assessment charge based on the lineal foot of frontage along the public highway.3

billing property owners and certain provisions of the ordinance did not conform to We found that the water ordinance did not provide a clear and consistent basis for

district, which may result in inequitable billings to property owners and did not require that charges be consistently applied throughout the entire charges should be applied to the different property classifications in each area transmission line. However, the ordinance did not clearly define how EDU the area covering the hamlet of Redwood (hamlet) and the area covering the The water district ordinance provided two main areas within the water district

parcel. All parcels within the Hamlet of Redwood shall be considered a minimum For example, the ordinance defined EDU as "a typical single-family residential 1.0 EDU for the purpose of assessing charges...

classifications, such as commercial properties and multi-family residential parcels within the hamlet. However, the ordinance did not address other property residential parcels and went on to mention charging at least 1.0 EDU for all each classification. (apartment) buildings or specify the number of EDUs that should be charged to The ordinance definition of EDU specified that it applied to single family

charged an EDU, whether they received water or not. service in either the hamlet or along the transmission line, the owner should be Board member told us that they believe that if a property is connected to water transmission line area should also be charged EDUs. Both the Supervisor and a In addition, the ordinance did not clearly specify whether parcels within the

³ Although the ordinance refers to these charges as benefit assessments, they are billed quarterly as water rates. These charges do not appear on the property owners' tax bill.

⁴ The ordinance defines the hamlet as the area within the water district lying to the east, northeast, and southwest of the transmission line. The ordinance further defines the transmission line as the area within the district that commences at its boundary within the Village, extending along New York State Route 26 and County Route 192 easterly and ending at the Hamlet.

include a provision to charge similar types of properties within the hamlet. system, shall be charged a benefit assessment.⁵ However the ordinance did not along the transmission line, whose property has no lateral to connect to the water entire water district. For example, the ordinance stated that property owners benefit assessment charges and did not require that these charges apply to the The water ordinance also included language that was inconsistent in regard to

this was not clearly stated in the ordinance. that they believed that all unconnected properties, not just those that are vacant, unconnected non-vacant properties along the transmission line were also required along the transmission line should be charged a benefit assessment. However, to pay a benefit assessment. Both the Supervisor and a Board member told us connection. As written, the ordinance was unclear whether property owners of assessment applied to vacant parcels along the transmission line with no lateral Also, the water rates portion of the ordinance indicated that the benefit

apportioned to properties within the district. charges to the entire district, the Clerk was not provided with clear guidance for apportioned among the different property classifications and uniformly apply how to calculate water bills and water district costs may not have been equitably Because the water ordinance did not clearly define how charges should be

underpinning for the charge. bear a direct relationship to the cost of providing the service and have a rational to charge vacant and unconnected parcels through user fees. A user fee must benefit assessments in the manner provided in the ordinance, which appears Furthermore, there is no provision in Town Law that allows the Town to charge

connected to the system, as stipulated in the ordinance. available. The Town may not bill a quarterly user charge to properties that are not receive water services and those that benefit from having water services would need to apply to all properties within the district, including those that property tax bill when certain procedures are followed, the benefit assessment While the laws do permit towns to include a benefit assessment on the real

Accurately Billed The Board Needs To Improve Controls To Ensure Water Users Are

implementation of the water ordinance. the applicable State law issues, there were still problems with the Town's has used the ordinance as its basis for billing property owners. Setting aside Although the water ordinance did not fully conform to Town Law, the Town

frontage along the public highway. The current benefit assessment rate is \$0.064 (0-250 feet) or \$0.013 (over 250 feet) per linear foot of

⁶ Outlined in Exhibit A of the ordinance.

period. Our review found \$8,111 in water charges for 27 properties that were not billed property owners the charges stipulated in the ordinance. We tested the We reviewed the Town's water billings to determine whether the Town consistently billed in accordance with the water ordinance. For example: quarterly water billings for 70 properties, which totaled \$52,995 during our audit

- A vacant property located on the transmission line and owned by the property for our audit period (\$827 less than the amount billed). ordinance, the Town should charge properties on the transmission line with no lateral connection a benefit assessment, which would total \$173 for this provided us with a map that showed no lateral connection. Based on the has no lateral connection to the water system. The water operator also water operator, Supervisor and a Board member told us that this property Supervisor was charged 1.0 EDU totaling \$1,000 for our audit period. The
- attributed these undercharges to an oversight on her part. hamlet while the other was located along the transmission line. The Clerk Two commercial properties connected to water service were not charged EDUs totaling \$2,000 for the audit period. One property was located in the
- Two properties connected to water service were charged 2.0 EDUs each, for multiple water connections on one property. water connections. However, the water ordinance did not address charges Clerk told us that she billed two EDUs to each property because of the two that each of these properties had two separate water connections and the \$2,000 in potential overcharges to the customers. The water operator told us rather than 1.0 EDU as specified in the water ordinance. This resulted in
- was charged 2 EDUs, resulting in the property being charged \$1,000 more A single family residence, which also included apartments on the property, of properties a result, officials were not consistently billing EDU charges for similar types property that included a residence and apartments was charged 1.0 EDU. As clear basis for charging 2.0 EDUs to this property. In addition, another similar address EDU charges for apartments. Therefore, the Clerk did not have a during our audit period than specified in the ordinance. The water ordinance specifies that parcels shall be considered a minimum of 1.0 EDU and did not
- Eleven properties located on the transmission line, with no water connection, only vacant properties were to be charged the benefit assessment according were not charged benefit assessments totaling \$1,682 during our audit to this matter. to the ordinance. However, the ordinance was not entirely clear with regard billed for these charges. The Clerk told us that it was her understanding that period, while similar types of properties on the transmission line area were

Three vacant properties located in the hamlet were charged \$162 in benefit properties in the hamlet were not billed for these charges. assessments should be charged within the hamlet and four other vacant assessments, even though the water ordinance did not state that benefit

water charges has potentially resulted in inequitable billings to property owners The failure to follow the Town law and the lack of consistency in applying the

and in agreement with the water ordinance. benefit assessment charges billed by the Clerk to help ensure they are accurate had no procedures in place for someone to periodically review the water EDU and be billed and there was little, if any, oversight of the billing process. Town officials the water ordinance lacked critical details specifying how water charges should Many of the inconsistencies we found with the water billings occurred because

water billing, officials cannot be sure that all property owners are being charged in adequate procedures to periodically reconcile these records to the quarterly Without an accurate and current list of all properties to be billed, along with assessments that should be charged to each parcel according to the ordinance. that should be billed in the water district, and the corresponding EDUs or benefit accordance with the ordinance. In addition, officials did not maintain sufficient records to identify all the properties

The Board Needs To Review and Amend the Sewer Ordinance

commercial, and apartment users) and the number of EDUs to be charged it identified and defined the various user classifications (e.g., residential, sewer ordinance was more comprehensive than the water ordinance because on EDU classifications and factors specified in the sewer ordinance. The The Town billed sewer rents to property owners in the sewer district based

were billed the correct number of EDUs according to the sewer ordinance in effect improvements with the sewer operator to determine whether property owners that he reviewed the property classifications within the sewer district in the being charged in the Clerk's billing program. The Board member told us which consisted of comparing the property classification and improvements final assessment roll and also confirmed certain property classifications and of each property located within the sewer district to the number of EDUs In 2018, a Board member conducted an internal review of sewer district charges

Board increased the EDU factor applicable to apartments from .75 to 1.0 EDU May 2018 to change various EDU classifications and factors. For example, the After the review was completed, the Board amended the sewer ordinance in

poor financial condition by increasing revenues.9 the annual sewer EDU rate from \$450 to \$650 in an effort to address the district's Board also added a .25 EDU charge for buildable vacant lots⁸ and it increased and revised the classifications to charge laundromats .2 EDU per machine.7 The

June 2018 billing. the EDU rate and the number of EDUs billed to the identified properties in the the ordinance changes and the results of the review to the Clerk who increased vacant lots, which resulted in billing 13.25 new EDUs. The Board communicated Board amended the sewer ordinance to add the .25 EDU charge for buildable different properties. One of the main reasons for the EDU increase was that the district should be increased by 23.4 EDUs to 234.9. These increases affected 67 Town officials determined that the total number of EDUs billed by the sewer As a result of the internal review and the Board's changes to the sewer ordinance

underpinning for the charge. bear a direct relationship to the cost of providing the service and have a rational system and not receiving the service. 10 As previously mentioned, a user fee must provided in the ordinance for properties that were not connected to the sewer and GML because the Town lacked the authority to bill user fees in the manner However, this change in the ordinance did not comply with provisions in Town Law

sewer charges it conforms to applicable State law so there is a sound legal basis for billing all Board needs to review and as appropriate amend the sewer ordinance to ensure charge vacant lots (unconnected properties) did not comply with the law. The The Supervisor told us that the Board did not realize the ordinance provision to

Accurately Billed The Board Needs To Improve Controls To Ensure Sewer Users Are

used the ordinance as the basis for its billings. We reviewed the Town's sewer owners in accordance with the ordinance. We tested the quarterly sewer billings billings to determine whether the Town consistently billed users and property Although the sewer ordinance did not conform to Town Law and GML, the Town

⁷ According to the Board minutes, the EDU charge for laundromats was "02 per machine." However, officials provided us with documentation showing that the Board intended to charge .2 EDU per machine.

what changes were made to the ordinance and Town officials were unable to provide an updated copy of the ordinance that includes the amendments. Officials provided us with other documentation showing that the Board changed the EDU classifications to charge zero EDUs for vacant lots and 0.5 EDUs for vacant lots equipped with Board accepted amendments to the sewer charges in September 1992. However, the minutes did not specify When the sewer ordinance was initially adopted in May 1992, vacant lots were charged .25 EDUs. The

Refer to our related report Town of Alexandria - Water and Sewer District Financial Condition (2019M-116)

¹⁰ Town Law, Sections 198, 202, 202-a and GML, Sections 451, 452

in sewer charges for 18 properties were not billed in accordance with the sewer for 38 properties, which totaled \$29,654 during our audit period. We found \$2,228 ordinance. For example:

The sewer ordinance required the Town to charge each residential user 1.0 a recommendation made during the Town's internal review. 0.25 EDU (\$41) for a residential property owned by a Board member due to EDU for sewer services. In October 2018, the Clerk added a new charge of

any EDUs for the property. residential user as defined in the ordinance and should not have been billed not connected to the system, the Board member was not considered a line did not reach the Board member's property. Because this property was district site plan drawings (from December 1989) confirmed that the sewer therefore, no sewer service was available. Our review of the original sewer the main sewer line was more than 600 feet away from the property, and Officials told us this property was not previously charged an EDU because

result, the Board member was not charged \$14 as required by the ordinance In October 2018, the Clerk added the new .25 EDU charge for this lot vacant lot when this new charge went into effect on June 1, 2018. As a In addition, the Clerk did not bill this Board member.25 EDU for a buildable

- Two vacant buildable lots owned by the Supervisor were charged a net but did not credit the Supervisor for the full amount of the overpayment. vacant buildable lots). She partly corrected the error in a subsequent billing, Supervisor 1.0 EDU instead of .25 EDU for each property (.5 EDUs for two October 2018 billings. The Clerk explained that she accidentally charged the total of \$203 more than specified in the sewer ordinance on the June and
- two billings (June and October 2018). periods reviewed, resulting in undercharges totaling \$885. This error was A commercial property was not billed 1.0 EDU in sewer charges during billing identified during the Town's review of sewer EDUs and corrected in the last
- One property containing a church was charged 1.0 EDU throughout the entire audit period instead of .5 EDU, as required by the sewer ordinance This resulted in \$483 in overcharges.
- A residential user was charged 2.25 EDUs for a residence and two apartments for a portion of the audit period instead of 2.50 EDUs as required property, which resulted in undercharges totaling \$216 by the ordinance. We also identified other errors in the billings for this

inequitable billings to sewer users and property owners the lack of consistency in applying the sewer charges has potentially resulted in The failure to ensure that the sewer ordinance conforms to applicable laws and

proper. Officials should use the results of the internal review to develop a oversight of the sewer billing process. However, the Board and Town officials classifications. master record of all the sewer district users along with their corresponding EDU need to take additional measures to ensure sewer billings are accurate and The internal review completed in 2018 was a positive step toward increasing

these records to the quarterly sewer billings, officials cannot be sure that all users sewer district users, along with adequate procedures to periodically reconcile made to current user classifications. Without an accurate and current list of all are being billed accurately and in accordance with the ordinance This record should be updated as needed to track any new users or changes

Water Meter Readings Were Not Always Reliable

no ending meter readings and customers with significant fluctuation in use as ending meter readings and the associated use (ending reading minus beginning sending out bills, the Clerk reviewed the reasonableness of the beginning and compared to historical trends. reading). For example, she reviewed reports identifying customer accounts with bills over the course of our audit period due to unreliable meter readings. Before The Clerk told us she routinely experienced problems when generating water

found that he inadvertently programmed the meter with the incorrect multiplier.11 customer account existing in the billing records. She forwarded reading the water operator sometimes identified a mechanical issue with the meter or problem with the meter or another reading was necessary. The Clerk told us that exceptions to the water operator for follow up to determine whether there was a The Clerk also reviewed a report identifying meter readings with no corresponding

informed her that no problems or issues were identified with a particular meter. meter reading did not increase during the quarter. prior history, bill the same use as the previous quarter or bill no use because the In these instances she would estimate the water use based on an average from However, the Clerk further told us that on many occasions, the water operator

meter readings. For example, we identified meter readings in which the ending water use during our audit period and found several instances of unreasonable We reviewed the beginning and ending water meter readings, and the associated reading was lower than the beginning reading. We also found excessive

¹¹ The Town's online meter reading software was programmed to obtain a certain number digits when the water operator remotely (by vehicle) captured the meter readings. After the operator downloaded the readings into the computerized billing software, a multiplier (e.g., 100, 1,000, or 10,000) was then applied by the software to the meter readings to calculate water use (volume).

adjusted the recorded water use before sending the bill to the customer (Figure applied to water use. In most of these instances we found that the Clerk had increases within readings, which could be indicative of incorrect multipliers being

Examples of Unreasonable Water Meter Readings (in gallons)

a Estimated water use as billed by the Clerk	Residential	Residential	Residential	Apartments	Residential	Property Type
as billed by the Clerk	46,800	561,200	17,800	95,000	330,600	Beginning Meter Reading
	582,000	19,600	188,000	78,300	516,200	Ending Meter Reading
	535,200	(541,600)	170,200	(17,700)	185,600	Calculated Water Use
	18,000	19,600	10,000	20,300	5,000	Water Use Billed ^a

adjustments to water meter readings and billings. In addition, the Clerk did not Clerk's water use estimates or adjustments. Therefore, it is difficult for the Board prepare any reports for the Supervisor or Board showing the estimated water reasonableness to assess how often these adjustments are being made and evaluate them for billings each quarter and there was no independent review and approval of the The Board did not adopt any written policies or procedures that addressed

officials engaged an outside consultant to evaluate the meter reading and billing her concerns to them regarding unreasonable meter readings. As a result Town infrastructure and found no significant leaks within the water district. programs. Officials and the consultant told us that the consultant surveyed the The Supervisor and another Board member told us that the Clerk communicated

being recorded for the new meter. meter readings when replacing meters resulting in no beginning meter reading caused the recorded water use for some customer bills to be under or overstated to the water operator applying incorrect multipliers to the meter readings, which determined that the primarily reason for unreasonable meter readings was due In addition, the consultant evaluated the functionality of the program and The consultant also found that the water operator did not capture the ending

meters at each property location to ensure that the meter information is properly addition, the consultant told us that the water operator has examined the water appeared to minimize the prior issues experienced with incorrect water use. In the entire meter reading and no longer uses a multiplier. These changes have process. For example, during our fieldwork, the water operator began capturing After the consultant's review, officials took steps to help improve the billing

correct customer account in the billing program. transmitting to the reading device and that this information corresponds to the

revenue the Town lost by not billing these users during the audit period. that these users are now being billed, officials are unaware of the amount of information to quantify the water use for these customers. Although we verified being billed for water use. Town officials were unable to provide us with reliable in apartment units, which did not have a customer account and were therefore not As a result of this effort, the water operator identified three meters, for water users

customers may be overcharged for water they did not use. When procedures are not in place to ensure meter readings are accurate, the Town is susceptible to loss of revenues due to water use that is not billed or

What Do We Recommend?

The Board should:

- In consultation with legal counsel, review the water and sewer ordinances and amend them as appropriate to ensure the ordinances clearly specify and define all charges imposed on property owners within the districts and the ordinances meet all applicable laws.
- Ņ In consultation with legal counsel, review sewer and water charges and, if appropriate and permitted by law, refund or seek recovery of charges that were incorrectly calculated by the Town.
- ယ Establish policies and procedures to ensure that accurate information ordinances. Town's billing records to verify all users are billed in accordance with the is maintained on each property, and is periodically compared to the
- Þ Establish policies and procedures to ensure the Board or other designated official reviews and approves estimated billings and adjustments to

The Board and Town officials should:

Ensure meter readings are accurate and reliable and follow up on discrepancies

Appendix A: Response From Town Officials

SUPERVISOR

Brent H. Sweet

Supt. of Highways Mike Tibbles

Deputy Supervisor
Ronald Thomson
Director of Finance
Michael Fayette
COUNCIL MEMBERS

John Stine James VanCour

9

OF THE THOUSAND ISLANDS

TOWN OF ALEXANDRIA

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON
46372 CO ROUTE 1
ALEXANDRIA BAY, NEW YORK 13607
PHONE 315/482.9519 FAX 315/482.6342
TDD 800/662.1220

Town Clerk Jessica Hudon

Deputy Clerk Cherl VanBrocklin

TOWN JUSTICE

Fodd Gorman

David Cortright

ATTORNEY
Robert Siye
Barclay & Damon

Appendix A: Response from Town Officials to Report of Examination of Redwood Water and Sewer District Charges 2019M-90

analysis and corrective work has already begun. months. Indeed, as a result of discussions with the auditors themselves, during their audit, the selfreport will be extremely helpful in addressing each of these matters in the coming weeks and which continues to wrestle with the fallout of the long-standing structural problems, believes that OSC's of the accuracy of its water metering system must be routine and thorough. The current Town Board, ordinances is essential to fair treatment of the Town's water and sewer customers; and that monitoring ordinances, must be addressed; that verification of appropriate billings in accordance with the amended assignment of appropriate charges, fees, or rates, essentially throughout each of its water and sewer understandable and supportable. The Town Board agrees with the essential finding that the issue of the corrected, will not only bring the ordinances into compliance with State law, but make its billings more many long-standing structural issues with each of its water and sewer ordinances themselves which, if accordance with Town ordinances." In reviewing the Draft Report, it seems clear that the Town has designed to "determine whether the Town billed charges in the Redwood Water and Sewer Districts in The Town of Alexandria is in receipt of Draft Report 2019M-116, issued in connection with OSC's audit

district ordinance amendments are needed. ordinance did not conform to town law and General Municipal Law (GML)" which demonstrates that need to be updated to clearly define and specify all revisions". The OSC stated that the Redwood "sewer ordinances. The OSC auditors/examiners got many different answers from town officials and employees. personnel on numerous occasions the definition or interpretation of many areas of the existing ordinances. The comptroller's auditors that were at the town doing the examination asked town amended the ordinance changing user classifications and fees for apartments, laundromats, unbuildable was adopted on 05/06/1992 and then amended on 09/02/1992. On May 30, 2018 the town board ordinance did not accurately define vacant parcels or residential users. The Redwood sewer ordinance definitions in each ordinance need to be more specific and detailed. For example, the Redwood sewer Those different answers from the Town personnel, reinforces the OSC's opinion that "the ordinances lots and vacant/buildable lots. Numerous changes need to be done in the town's other districts The Town of Alexandria water and sewer ordinances did not clearly identify different types of users. The

(page 1 of 2)

The Town of Alexandria is an equal opportunity provider, employer and lender.

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 independence Avenue,S.W., Washington,D.C.

20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 or (202) 720-638Q(TDD)

Brent H. Sweet SUPERVISOR

Supt. of Highways

Mike Tibbles

COUNCIL MEMBERS Ronald Thomson Director of Finance Deputy Supervisor Michael Fayette James VanCour John Stine

OF THE THOUSAND ISLANDS

TOWN OF ALEXANDRIA

PHONE 315/482.9519 FAX 315/482.6342 ALEXANDRIA BAY, NEW YORK 13607 COUNTY OF JEFFERSON TDD 800/662.1220 46372 CO ROUTE 1

> Jessica Hudon **Town Clerk**

Cherl VanBrocklin Deputy Clerk

David Cortright TOWN JUSTICE Todd Gorman

Robert Siye Barclay & Damon ATTORNEY

interpretation is that if a resident is in the district and is NOT hooked up then they CANNOT be charged a There are two key developments by the OSC and their legal department. The first is that "a user fee must bear a direct relationship to the cost of providing the service". In laymen's terms the comptroller's

York it must be billed annually on the yearly tax bill. billing the benefit assessment quarterly (since 1992) and according to General Municipal Law of New Municipal Law (GML) pertaining to user fees and benefit assessment. For example, the town has been years (27 years in Redwood sewer and 12 years Redwood water) has not been adhering to General defined in the district's ordinance. It is extremely interesting that the OSC states "there is no provision in be charged to all in the district (if the ordinance so directs) and that benefit assessment must be clearly ordinance, which appears to charge vacant and unconnected parcels through user fees". The town for Town Law that allows the Town to charge benefit assessments in the manner provided in the town's The second important development from the examination by the OSC is that a benefit assessment must

accuracy and entirety. districts. All the meters were re-programed to read using the same multiplier. Previously the town water on a spreadsheet. In October of 2019 the town reviewed every water and sewer bill in every district for Also, the water district operator is taking all water meter readings weekly and entering that weekly data consumption on a spreadsheet to compare new readings to old readings, looking for any abnormalities. meters were using five different multipliers. Currently a town board member enters all water In 2018 the town did a complete audit/examination of all town water meters and accounts in four water

the proper verbiage. concise, detailed document that will be a useful tool to ensure that updated ordinances are in place with in conclusion the Town of Alexandria officials feel the OSC's report of examination (2019M-90) is a very

With Appreciation; The Jown of Alexandria Jown Board

現代 は る

12/3/19 (page 2 of 2)

The Town of Alexandria is an equal opportunity provider, employer and lender.

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue S.W., Washington, D.C.

20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 or (202) 720-6382(TDD)

Appendix B: Audit Wethodology and Standards

and the State Comptroller's authority as set forth in Article 3 of the New York State We conducted this audit pursuant to Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution evidence, our audit procedures included the following: General Municipal Law. To achieve the audit objective 12 and obtain valid audit

- We interviewed Town officials and employees to gain an understanding steps taken by the Town to verify the readings are reliable. interviewed the water consultant to gain a better understanding of how meter of how residents are charged for water and sewer services. We also readings are recorded to track customer water use, and to determine the
- We reviewed the Board-adopted water district and sewer district ordinances of certain charges specified in the ordinances. district. We consulted with OSC's legal department concerning the propriety and Board minutes to identify the charges the Board authorized for each
- We reviewed the original sewer district site plan drawings to identify which ID Map to identify the lineal feet of property frontage and calculate benefit properties have sewer service available. We also reviewed the County Tax assessment charges.
- located in the water and sewer districts and reviewed all quarterly billings for We used our professional judgment to select a sample of 70 properties higher risk of not being billed in accordance with the ordinances. officials in the districts and reviewed their billings because they posed a improper billings. We also selected all eleven properties owned by Town on property classifications or other factors that made them susceptible to with the ordinances. For our sample, we selected 59 properties based our audit period to determine whether the Town billed charges in accordance
- We scanned water meter readings for all customers during the audit period to identify examples of questionable or unreasonable readings.
- We compared the volume of water supplied from the Village to the volume period to determine whether the difference was reasonable of water metered and billed to the water district customers during the audit

We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a accepted government auditing standards). Those standards require that we We conducted this performance audit in accordance with GAGAS (generally findings and conclusions based on our audit objective

¹² We also issued a separate audit report, Town of Alexandria – Water and Sewer District Financial Condition (2019M-116).

value and/or relevant population size and the sample selected for examination. entire population. Where applicable, information is presented concerning the on professional judgment, as it was not the intent to project the results onto the Unless otherwise indicated in this report, samples for testing were selected based

you received with the draft audit report. We encourage the Board to make the CAP, please refer to our brochure, Responding to an OSC Audit Report, which 35 of General Municipal Law. For more information on preparing and filing your should be prepared and provided to our office within 90 days, pursuant to Section action plan (CAP) that addresses the findings and recommendations in this report CAP available for public review in the Town Clerk's office. The Board has the responsibility to initiate corrective action. A written corrective

Appendix C: Resources and Services

Regional Office Directory

www.osc.state.ny.us/locatgov/regional_directory.pdf

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/costsavings/index.htm Cost-Saving Ideas - Resources, advice and assistance on cost-saving ideas

experiencing fiscal problems Fiscal Stress Monitoring – Resources for local government officials

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/fiscalmonitoring/index.htm

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/pubs/listacctg.htm#lgmg technical information and suggested practices for local government management Local Government Management Guides – Series of publications that include

capital, strategic and other plans Planning and Budgeting Guides - Resources for developing multiyear financial,

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/planbudget/index.htm

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/pubs/cyber-security-guide.pdf technical cybersecurity guide for local government leaders Protecting Sensitive Data and Other Local Government Assets - A non-

filed with the Office of the State Comptroller www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/finreporting/index.htm Required Reporting – Information and resources for reports and forms that are

governments and State policy-makers www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/researchpubs/index.htm Research Reports/Publications - Reports on major policy issues facing local

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/academy/index.htm training opportunities on a wide range of topics Training - Resources for local government officials on in-person and online

Contact

Office of the New York State Comptroller
Division of Local Government and School Accountability
110 State Street, 12th Floor, Albany, New York 12236

Tel: (518) 474-4037 • Fax: (518) 486-6479 • Email: localgov@osc.ny.gov

www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/index.htm

Local Government and School Accountability Help Line: (866) 321-8503

SYRACUSE REGIONAL OFFICE – Rebecca Wilcox, Chief Examiner

State Office Building, Room 409 • 333 E. Washington Street • Syracuse, New York 13202-1428

Tel (315) 428-4192 • Fax (315) 426-2119 • Email: Muni-Syracuse@osc.ny.gov

Serving: Herkimer, Jefferson, Lewis, Madison, Oneida, Onondaga, Oswego, St. Lawrence



Like us on Facebook at facebook.com/nyscomptroller Follow us on Twitter @nyscomptroller